

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,765	09/15/2003	David H. Kil	14255-035001 / 1510 ARC01-201		
26161 7590 09/20/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER		
			SIMS, JASON M		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/662,765	KIL, DAVID H.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jason M. Sims	1631		

	Jason M. Sims	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 August 2007. A bri the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	₹1.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	insideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(a) ⊠ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal, and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	,				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 30-35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19-29</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claim 1, if entered, would overcome the rejection of claims under 35 USC 101 as being drawn to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicant did not point to the specification for support for said amendment and support has not been found for said amendment and

therefore, it appears to introduce New Matter.

Applicant's amendment of extracting two or more features from each pixel from an ROI, etc., if entered would appear to overcome the rejection of claims under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103, but raises new issues that would clearly require further consideration and/or search. Applicant's amendment adds the new limitation, which clarifies that features are extracted from each pixel within a ROI, which introduces a new limitation that was not previously considered or searched and would required such examination. Therefore, the amendment will NOT be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as the amendments are not being entered, the rejections are maintained.

/Lori A. Clow/ Primary Examiner 18 September 2007